

## Governo do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

**ANNEX III - PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND SERVICE TARGETS** 

# **General Index**

1.	Performance Indicators	4
2.	Form of Calculation of the Indicators	9
3.	General Performance Indicator	. 15
4.	Use of the General Performance Indicator for Regular Readjustments	. 21
5.	Bibliography	. 27
Арр	endix I - Performance Indicators Table	. 28
	endix II - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, USSI - Urban Sewerage Service ex Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 1	
	endix III - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, USSI - Urban Sewerage Servicex Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 2	
	endix IV - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, USSI - Urban Sewerage Servicex Targets and DLI — Distribution Loss Index - Block 3	
	endix V - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, USSI - Urban Sewerage Service ex Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 4	

# **List of Tables**

Table 1 - Operational Performance Indicators Framework	6
Table 2 - Indicator Weights	
Table 3- Standardization of the Performance Indicators	17
Table 4 - GPI Calculation	19
Table 5 - Definition of the Weighting Factors	23
Table 6- Performance Indicator Targets	28

#### 1. Performance Indicators

A system for measuring performance by indicators was developed with the aim of ensuring compliance with quality standards for maintenance of the elements required in ANNEX IV - CONCESSION TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS, as well as the current norms and certification standards required by the competent bodies. Such norms and standards are associated with the availability, quality and sustainability of the water supply and sanitation services of the MUNICIPALITIES of the BLOCK that will be served by the future CONCESSIONAIRE.

The use of performance indicators is essential in order to evaluate the quality of the water supply and sanitation services, since it entails constant monitoring, allowing for the improvement and follow-up on the implementation of the targets established in the concession agreements, as well as the identification and dissemination of best practices. The use of indicators is also relevant as an incentive mechanism for the improvement and rationalization of inspection activities, facilitating the generation of annual diagnoses that are available to the REGULATORY AGENCY and to inspection institutions, and can also serve as basis for the development of public policies for the sector.

In addition, performance indicators act as an incentive for the service provider to be efficient, since lower indicators imply lower remuneration for the operation when linked to tariff adjustment and review mechanisms. Finally, the measurement of indicators allows the analysis of the evolution of each aspect over time, as well as allowing the comparison of the CONCESSIONAIRE's performance with other organizations in the sector.

It should be noted that the indicators proposed in this ANNEX were selected from market surveys whereby it was possible to identify the indicators that have been adopted in sanitation projects in the country, based mainly on invitations for bids in the sector and indicators that are included in the National Sanitation Information System (SNIS).

#### 1.1 Selection of Indicators

In the selection of indicators, the aim was to cover the most relevant dimensions of water supply and sanitation services, so as to ensure the most significant information for the CONCESSIONAIRE's performance evaluation is made available, taking into account both inspection activities and social interests. Therefore, the choice of indicators took into account requirements relating to each indicator individually and also to the set of indicators.

For the individual selection of indicators, the following aspects were taken into account:

- Possibility of calculation without significant additional effort;
- Ease and simplicity of interpretation and collection;
- Strict definition, concise meaning and unambiguous interpretation;

- Objective and unbiased measurement of a specific aspect of the CONCESSIONAIRE's performance in order to avoid subjective or biased judgments;
- Easy access to data, checks and external audit.
- Validity, communicability and reliability;
- Possibility of validation by independent verifiers.

Collectively, indicators capable of meeting the following requirements were sought in order to:

- Reflect the main aspects of the managing entity's performance, allowing for global representation;
- Avoid overlap in objectives or meaning between indicators.

#### 1.2 Performance Indicator Framework

The proposed indicators make up a Performance Indicator Framework (PID), as fully presented in Appendix I, containing description, calculation formula, indicator components, unit of measurement, frequency and source of collection of the components.

For better preview and organization of the evaluation process, the Performance Indicators were classified into three different groups:

- Operational Performance Indicators (water and sewage);
- Customer Service Quality Indicators; and
- Environmental Performance Indicators.

Each indicator has a specific formula, the calculation of which usually consists of a relationship between two variables, seeking to determine the effective performance against an optimal performance. For most of them, the unit of measurement is a percentage, with few being measured in factor (number). The following table presents the indicators that make up the PIF.

Table 1 - Operational Performance Indicators Framework

		Performa nce Indicator	Descriptio n	Formula	Measurem ent Units	Measurem ent Frequency	Measurement Units
		WSI	Urban Water Supply Service Index	100*(AG013/ G003)	%	Annual	AG013: Number of water residential units with potential connection  G003: Total number of urban residential units
ors	Water	DLI	Distributio n Loss Index	100*(AG006 + AG018 - AG010- AG024)/(AG006+AG018- AG024)	%	Annual	AG006: Volume of water produced AG010: Volume of water consumed AG018: Volume of imported treated water AG024: Volume of service water
Performance Indicators	Wa	DSI	Discontinu ity of water supply index	DSI = 100*NRCperiod/NRCregist ration	%	Annual	NRCtime: Number of complaints regarding the discontinuity of supply met on time (48h)  NRCRegister: Number of registered complaints and requests
onal Perforr		WQI	Water Quality Index	100*QD007/QD006	%	Daily	QD 006 – Sum of the number of samples for residual chlorine, turbidity, color and odor at the WTP outlet QD 007 – Sum of the number of samples for residual chlorine, turbidity, color and odor at the WTP outlet with standard results
Operational	ge	USSI	Urban Sewerage Service Index - IN047	100*(ES003/ G003)	%	Annual	ES003: Number of sewerage residential units with potential connection G003: Total number of urban residential units
	Sewage	SQI	Sewage Treatment Non- complianc e Index - IN 100	100*A/B	%	Monthly	A - Number of 24-hour composite samples of BOD <sub>5</sub> with standard results B - Number of 24-hour composite samples for measurement of BOD <sub>5</sub>

Water and Sewage	IAS	Irregular Areas Service Index	100*A/B	%	Annual	A: Value invested in irregular areas  B: Irregular areas investment value forecast, established in the financial schedule
ormance	USI	User Satisfactio n Index - USI	100*SSS/TSS	%	Annual	SSS: Satisfaction surveys that meet quality standards TSS: Total satisfaction surveys
Management Performance Indicators	RDR	Efficiency Index for Network or Water Branch Clearance Repair - NCR	100*A/B	%	Annual	A - Number of services performed within the period established in the Service Order  B - Total number of services
Performance tors	Document s DRI Regularity 100*NOL/TNF % Index -		Annual	NOL: Number of facilities with valid operating license or concession  TNF: Total number of facilities		
Environmental Performance Indicators	DWC	DRI Dry Weather Collector Performan ce Index - DWC		%	Annual	A: Value invested in DWC systems  B: Value forecasted for investment in DWC system as per the works and financial schedule

Source: Consortium

- (1) For the municipality of Rio de Janeiro, the WSI and USSI include the units of formal areas and urbanized irregular areas.
- (2) Units with feasible connection are those in which there is a technical and economic condition to make the connection to the public network. Agenersa will define the specific feasibility criteria in each municipality together with the Concessionaire.
- (3) The remaining amounts to be invested from the IAS and DWC indicators will be corrected by the IPCA index, or any equivalent index that might replace it.

### 2. Form of Calculation of the Indicators

One of the difficulties that can arise in a system of performance measurement through indicators is how to calculate them. The variables that make up the indicator's formula are not always easily obtained and, when they are, one must pay attention to the correct reading of the measured parameters in order to portray the operational reality of a system.

Another important aspect is the measurement frequency, which should be established according to the particular characteristics of each indicator. Finally, it is essential to set out the responsibilities of the parties involved in the process in order to make their respective roles clear and thus avoid any potential issues that could compromise the measurement of the indicators.

The following items are intended to address said issues in more detail.

#### 2.1 Source for Data Collection

The data for calculating the indicators can be obtained internally or externally. Internal data are those generated and controlled directly by the CONCESSIONAIRE, such as the number of samples in compliance with current standards, for example. The external data are those that must be obtained from third parties, as in the case of the number of total units in the area of the concession, which is verified by the city halls.

To obtain the internal data, the following items are needed:

- Field inspection checks;
- CONCESSIONAIRE's records;
- Commercial registration of the CONCESSIONAIRE;
- Operational Reports;
- Laboratory and on-site physical-chemical, bacteriological, microbiological analysis;
- Records of environmental audits performed; and
- Records of complaints through the Call Center System.

The external data will be obtained from external sources, such as:

- National Water Agency (ANA);
- State environmental agencies;
- Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) Demographic Census or National Household Survey (PNAD);
- Municipalities covered by the Project;
- National Sanitation Information System (SNIS).

#### 2.2 Performance Indicators Target

The result of an indicator alone is meaningless and should always be compared with some reference value or target. The definition of targets must be linked both to best practices observed in the market in question and be in accordance with the values considered achievable by the REGULATORY AGENCY, in addition to being in line with the AGREEMENT.

The sources used for the definition of the Reference Values/Targets were:

- Current legislation;
- 2. Technical standards related to the indicators presented in this report;
- 3. History of the Indicators from the National Information System (SNIS);
- 4. Best national and international practices adjusted to the reality of the Provider; and
- 5. International Water Association (IWA), appropriate to the reality of the Provider.

The criteria adopted for the establishment of the targets herein were:

- Adjusted to reality: It must be taken into account that the targets set must be set in such a way that they are achievable by the CONCESSIONAIRE. This requires knowledge of the current legislation and of market practices.
- Optimistic, but realistic: Targets should be optimistic and challenging, but should also avoid any loss of motivation on the part of the CONCESSIONAIRE. Therefore, targets that are excessively ambitious or even unattainable should not be adopted, instead, the restraints that characterize the service provided should be addressed.
- **Gradual**: It is reasonable to establish a period of maturation of the systems. Accordingly, gradual targets are established for the initial years of the concession until the maturity of the system is reached, from which point the targets become permanent.
- Reliable and available information: It is essential that the information that will serve as
  basis for the performance indicators' targets is reliable and available. The National
  Sanitation Information System (SNIS) becomes a relevant tool to assess the reality of
  sanitation service providers in different Brazilian states and/or municipalities and,
  therefore, it is a reliable and available source of information to be taken into account
  when setting the targets.
- **Benchmarking:** The targets/reference values established through comparison with other realities have the advantage of considering the strong results and their potential correction and adaptation to the operational environment of the provider in question.
- **Experience**: Alternative approach in the absence of reliable information that can serve as a basis for setting targets. It is a qualitative method based on the experience and knowledge of a specialist on the subject. It is worth highlighting the subjective and biased nature of an opinion, which might result in distancing from reality.

It is important to note that, although the CONCESSIONAIRE must issue reports as from the first year of the concession, a grace period of at least two years from the start of the operation has been established for the measurement of the indicators herein to have an impact on the

EFFECTIVE TARIFF to be validated for the CONCESSIONAIRE. This was established in view of the systems and operations to be undertaken by the CONCESSIONAIRE, so that it is only from the third year of the AGREEMENT onwards that there will be indicators that will actually impact the effective tariff. This aspect will be presented in more detail further on in this ANNEX.

A service curve has been established for water and sewerage services, as shown in Appendices II to V, depending on the BLOCK in question. Therefore, the project starts with lower service levels until it reaches operational maturity and has a constant service level until the end of the contract term. This is directly reflected in the targets set for the water and sewage universalization indicators and, indirectly reflected in all the other targets that tend to show progress as investments are made and the operation is expanded.

There are also indicators that will have fixed reference values, regardless of the operating time. It is the case of the quality indicators, whose targets will be the same throughout the term of the agreement, except for the first two years, as mentioned above.

The indicators should consider the following guidelines:

#### Urban Water Supply Service Index - WSI

It measures the service index by means of the ratio between the total amount of units in conditions to be connected to the distribution network and the total amount of units in the concession area.

The concessionaire shall validate the initial service index, based on the commercial reregistration of the system, to be carried out by the Concessionaire in the period of two years after taking over the services, which shall be approved by the controlling body.

The methodology for determining both parameters must be proposed by the concessionaire, which must be approved by the regulatory agency.

#### Distribution Loss Index - DLI

This index reflects the efficiency of the water collection, consumption and billing process.

The concessionaire shall validate the initial water loss rate based on macro and micromeasurement analysis, or other methodology to be suggested by the concessionaire, which shall be approved by the regulating body.

### Water Supply Discontinuity Index - DSI

This index aims to measure the discontinuity of the water supply service to the population through the number of complaints registered due to interruption of water supply due to inoperative system or low gauge pressure in the water supply network.

The level of service established as target is 98%

Water supply failures by CEDAE do not impact the measurement of this performance indicator.

#### Water quality index - WQI

For this level of service, the Concessionaire shall guarantee compliance of 98% of the samples.

If CEDAE does not deliver water within the quality standards set out in this Annex, the non-standard samples will not be taken into account for the purpose of calculating the performance indicator.

This quality indicator does not exempt the concessionaire from complying with Annex XX of Consolidation Ordinance No. 5 of the MS of 10/03/17.

#### Urban Sewerage Service Index - USSI

It measures the service index by means of the ratio between the total amount of units in conditions to be connected to the sewage collection network and the total amount of units in the concession area.

The concessionaire shall validate the initial service index, based on the commercial reregistration of the system, which shall be approved by the controlling body.

The locations with the implementation of the Dry Weather Collector system, will maintain the existing sewage collection index of year 0 until the 5th year of concession, and from the 6th year onwards, they should grow linearly until the target year presented in the Appendix. The locations that will be served with Dry Weather Collector are: Belford Roxo, Duque de Caxias, Itaboraí, Mesquita, Nilópolis, Nova Iguaçu, Rio de Janeiro, São Gonçalo and their respective districts;

The methodology for determining the total amount of units in conditions to be connected to the sewage collection network and the total amount of units in the concession area must be proposed by the concessionaire, which must be approved by the regulatory agency.

#### Sewage Treatment Efficiency and Improvement Index - SQI

Among a number of quality control parameters for a sewage treatment plant, the biochemical oxygen demand of 5 days at 20°C is the one adopted.

BOD<sub>5,20</sub> concentration analyses should be performed according to the methods described in the latest edition of the *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater of the American Public Health Association*.

For a better characterization of the average quality of raw sewage and treated sewage, the composite analysis should be performed preferably every hour, for 24 hours in a row and never at intervals longer than two hours.

For this level of service, the classification of non-compliance that will be used, including for the application of penalties, is as follows:

The level of service established as target is 98%.

This quality indicator does not exempt the concessionaire from complying with current legislation regarding the quality of the treated effluent.

#### • Irregular areas service index - IAS

It will be measured by the investments actually made by the Concessionaire in the irregular areas in the period, in relation to the investments provided for in the Action Plan prepared by the Concessionaire, pursuant to ANNEX IV – Concession Technical Specifications.

The service level set as a goal is 100%.

#### Customer satisfaction index - CSI

The user's satisfaction index should measure the degree of satisfaction with the service received.

The data composing the index should be obtained by sampling, in sufficient quantity to ensure the representativity of the universe of requests.

The level of service set as target is 90% satisfaction.

#### • Efficiency index for clearance in the network or sewer branches - NCR

The time period between the service request and the date of effective completion of the service will be measured.

The level of service established as acceptable is of 98% of the services resolved within 24 hours for locations up to 100.00 inhabitants and 48 hours for locations with a population over 100,000 inhabitants.

#### Documents Regularity Index - DRI

The level of service established as acceptable is 100% of the works duly licensed.

#### Dry weather collector performance index

It will be measured by the investments actually made by the Concessionaire in the dry weather collector systems in the period and the investments provided for in the planning prepared by the Concessionaire pursuant to ANNEX IV – Concession Technical Specifications.

The service level set as a goal is 100%.

The targets of the WSI - Urban Water Service Index and USSI - Urban Sewerage Service Index indicators are presented in Appendix II of this ANNEX, divided per BLOCK.

During the grace period of each indicator, for the purpose of calculating the General Performance Indicator (GPI), they will be deemed fully met.

It should be noted that any non-conformity with the targets that is due to facts not attributable to the CONCESSIONAIRE, such as, for example, the failure to meet the target of quality of the water delivered to the USERS due to a non-conformity concerning the supply of water treated by CEDAE, shall not be taken into account in the calculation of the indicators. The CONCESSIONAIRE shall justify the non-conformity with supporting information proving the occurrence of said non-attributable facts. The REGULATORY AGENCY shall analyze the justifications presented by the CONCESSIONAIRE and express its agreement with the arguments presented. If the REGULATORY AGENCY disagrees with the CONCESSIONAIRE's arguments, the procedure set forth in sub-clause 29.11 of the AGREEMENT shall apply.

#### 2.3 Assignment of Responsibilities

The evaluation process involves 3 entities and comprises the measurement, monitoring and calculation of the indicators as follows:

- **Concessionaire**: Responsible for measuring the indicators, preparing the indicator reports and providing the necessary information to the REGULATORY AGENCY and the Independent Verifier.
- **REGULATORY AGENCY**: Responsible for monitoring the CONCESSIONAIRE's performance and shall request and receive additional information from the Concessionaire whenever necessary.
- Independent Verifier: Specialized company responsible for verifying the indicators report and carrying out the field investigations necessary for assessing the results measured. This is a company that has no links to the CONCESSIONAIRE and which will verify the process and the accuracy of the data provided by the CONCESSIONAIRE, independently validating the performance achieved during a certain period of time. INDEPENDENT VERIFIER shall be hired in accordance with ANNEX V - MINIMUM TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR HIRING INDEPENDENT VERIFIER AND CERTIFIER.

## 3. General Performance Indicator

The analysis of an indicator in isolation and out of context may lead to incorrect or distorted interpretations. Therefore, it is recommended that the indicators be analyzed as a whole and associated to the context in which they are inserted.

Therefore, in order to concisely translate the most relevant aspects regarding the quality of the services provided by the concessionaire, a methodology was defined for the calculation of a General Performance Indicator (GPI) from the set of performance indicators presented in chapter 5 of this document.

#### 3.1 Calculation Methodology

The calculation procedure consists of the following steps:

- 1) Assigning weights to the indicators;
- 2) Standardization of the indicators;
- 3) Adjustment to the frequency of the indicators;
- 4) GPI calculation.

#### 3.1.1 Allocation of Weights

The following table presents the weights of each indicator in the GPI calculation.

Table 2 - Indicator Weights

Indicator	Weight
Urban Water Supply Service Index - WSI	15%
Distribution Loss Index - DLI	10%
Water Supply Discontinuity Index - SDI	5%
Water Quality Index - WQI	10%
Urban Sewerage Service Index - USSI	15%
Sewage Treatment Efficiency and Improvement Index - SQI	10%
Irregular Areas Service Index - IAS	10%
User Satisfaction Index - USI	5%
Efficiency Index for Network or Water Branch Clearance Repair - NCR	5%
Documents Regularity Index - DRI	10%
Dry Weather Collector Performance Index - DWC	5%
Total	100%

Source: Consortium

The indicators for the universalization of water and sewage have the highest weights, in view of their greater relevance to the perceptions of both public authorities and consumers regarding the quality of the service provided.

It is worth highlighting that meeting the targets of the performance indicators, in addition to impacting the EFFECTIVE TARIFF to be collected by the CONCESSIONAIRE, consists of an incentive for the CONCESSIONAIRE to comply with the legal requirements determined by inspection agencies. This relates to fact that the penalties to be applied often have no relevant financial impact on the CONCESSIONAIRE, whereas when linking the EFFECTIVE TARIFF to these aspects, there is an overall financial impact that results from any non-compliance with the law.

#### 3.1.2 Standardization

Since reference values/performance targets differ between indicators, they need to be standardized to be on the same basis for comparison.

The formula for standardizing the indicators is follows:

$$ID_i^{Norm} = \frac{X_{ID} - X_{pp}}{X_{meta} - X_{pp}}$$

#### Where:

- $ID_i^{Norm}$  Standardized Performance Indicator i.
- $X_{PI}$  Measured value of the Performance Indicator i.
- $X_{wp}$  Worst possible value of the Performance Indicator i.
- $X_{target}$  Target Value of the Performance Indicator i.

The indicators measured each period will be inserted in the following table in order to generate the respective standardized values from the worst possible values and target values stipulated for each indicator.

For some indicators, the worst case would be to maintain the current situation, so in these cases, the worst possible value will not be 0%.

Table 3- Standardization of the Performance Indicators

Indicator	Ind. Value (X <sub>PI</sub> )	Worst Possible Value (X <sub>wp)</sub>	Target Value (X <sub>target</sub> )	Standardized Value
WSI		60%	100%	
DLI		65%	25%	
SDI		0%	98%	
WQI		10%	98%	
USSI		0%	100%	
SQI		0%	98%	
IAS		0%	100%	
USI		0%	90%	
NCR		0%	100%	
DRI		0%	98%	
DWC		0%	100%	

Source: Consortium

If the standardized value exceeds 100%, in which case  $X_{ID} > X_{Target}$ , the target is considered fully met and, therefore,  $ID_i^{Norm}$  is equal to 1.

#### 3.1.3 Tolerance

In order to circumvent any limitations in the measurement of the indicators, it is allowed the adoption of a tolerance of up to 1%, up or down depending on the case, on the value of the indicator. That is, if the measured value is less than 1% from the target value, the target will be deemed fully met.

For example, if in a given year the target for sewerage service is 75% and the concessionaire achieves 74%, it shall not suffer any discount in relation to this indicator. Likewise, if in a given year the leakage rate is 3%, with the target at 2%.

In addition to this tolerance, in the first occurrence of a GPI lower than 1, the calculated reduction will be mitigated by being multiplied by 25%, so that it serves more as a warning than an actual penalty for not meeting the targets. This, however, can only happen once during the entire term of the contract. That is, if said mitigating tool is already used in the 3rd year of the concession, throughout the remaining years the GPI will be fully applied according to the calculation detailed below.

#### 3.1.4 Adjustment to Frequencies

The calculation of the GPI is annual, therefore, as there are indicators whose measurement frequency is less than one year, it is necessary to adjust them to their respective frequencies in order to obtain an annualized value for each of them.

Therefore, for such indicators there will be the calculation of an average of the values measured over the twelve months prior to the calculation of the GPI. Thus, if an indicator has a quarterly frequency, an average of the four measurements made over a year will be calculated, whereas for an indicator with a half-yearly measurement, the average of the two measurements made over the year in question will be calculated.

It is worth noting that this is a weighted average in which higher weights will be attributed to the measurements closest to the readjustment date, in order to translate the impact of the indicators' trajectory into the tariff readjustment since, if the evolution is positive throughout the year, the Concessionaire will benefit, while any downward trajectories will tend to be unfavorable.

For each indicator, the adjustment will be made as follows:

$$ID_a^{Norm} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n ID_j^{Norm} \ x \ j}{\sum_{j=1}^n j}$$

Where:

- ullet  $ID_a^{\it Norm}$  Adjusted and standardised Performance Indicator.
- $ID_i^{Norm}$  Standardised Performance Indicator of the "jth" annual measurement.
- n Number of measurements carried out over one year.

Returning to the previous example where the indicator presents quarterly measurement frequency, the calculation would be as follows:

$$ID_a^{Norm} = \frac{ID_1^{Norm} x \ 1 + \ ID_2^{Norm} x \ 2 + \ ID_3^{Norm} x \ 3 + \ ID_4^{Norm} x \ 4}{10}$$

#### 3.1.5 GPI calculation.

Once standardized, adjusted to the respective frequencies and established with the respective weights, the GPI is calculated according to the formula below:

$$IDG = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \times ID_a^{Norm} i$$

Where:

- IDG General Performance Indicator;
- $P_i$  Weight of Performance Indicator i;
- $ID_a^{Norm}i$  Standardized and adjusted Performance Indicator i; and
- *n* Number of Performance Indicators.

Thus, the Concessionaire shall submit a table as follows, including standardized weights and values adjusted for the calculation of GPI according to the previous equation.

Table 4 - GPI Calculation

Indicator	Weight	Standardized and Adjusted Value
WSI	15%	
DLI	10%	
SDI	5%	
WQI	10%	
USSI	15%	
SQI	10%	
IAS	15%	
USI	5%	
NCR	5%	
DRI	15%	
DWC	5%	
GPI		

Source: Consortium

A GPI should be prepared for each MUNICIPALITY of the BLOCK. The calculation of the consolidated GPI shall consider the weights described in the tables of Appendices II to V for each MUNICIPALITY of each BLOCK, according to the formula below:

$$IDG consolidado = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Peso_{i} \times IDGi}{T_{p}}$$

#### Where:

- IDGconsolidado CONCESSIONAIRE's General Performance Indicator;
- Peso<sub>i</sub> Weight of the MUNICIPALITY i in the calculation of the consolidated GPI;
- IDGi- GPI of the MUNICIPALITY i; and
- *n* Quantity of MUNICIPALITIES in the block.
- Tp-Sum of the weights of each MUNICIPALITY of the BLOCK

#### 3.2 Indicators Report

Although some of the indicators are measured less than once a year, the CONCESSIONAIRE shall prepare an annual report of indicators to be analyzed by the INDEPENDENT VERIFIER and the REGULATORY AGENCY. That report shall contain:

- Detailed information on the calculation of all performance indicators, such as the methodology adopted for the calculation of each one and also its consolidation into a General Performance Indicator (GPI) for the block in question;
- Detailed history of each indicator, with all measurements made in the period; and
- Methodology for calculating the financial reducer, which will be a function of the General Performance Indicator, as well as its result and impact on the tariff adjustment.

The format of the presentation of the indicators report shall be shared with the REGULATORY AGENCY for approval prior to the start of the operation, and may be modified during the AGREEMENT if deemed necessary to make the assessment of the results more clear and precise. Modifications should be discussed between the PARTIES in order to analyze the possible financial and/or operational impact of a change in the parameters. Changes resulting in financial impacts should be part of a contractual rebalancing process.

Said report and all the information contained therein will mandatorily undergo a verification process to be carried out by the INDEPENDENT VERIFIER, hired as established in the Agreement.

The revision of the indicators and their respective weights shall be provided for in the AGREEMENT, the first of which is scheduled to occur 4 years after the start of the AGREEMENT, aiming at best achieving the objectives of the Performance Measurement System.

# 4. Use of the General Performance Indicator for Regular Readjustments

Full compliance with the performance targets established for each indicator will result in an GPI equal to 1, which in turn will allow the CONCESSIONAIRE to receive the maximum readjustment possible in the year in question while the USERS benefit from the gains in quality of the service provided.

The procedure for calculating the tariff readjustment and applying the GPI to the readjustment are described in the items below.

#### 4.1 Readjustment

In accordance with the AGREEMENT, the values of the TARIFFS, as well as of the SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICES, shall be readjusted every 12 months as from the date of the presentation of the bid. This readjustment will follow the parametric formula below:

#### Where:

- TARIFF b: Base Tariff to be calculated.
- TARIFF b-1 Base Tariff in force in the previous year.
- CRI: Contractual Readjustment Index;

The CRI, in turn, will be calculated as follows:

#### Where:

- **P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5**: Weighting factors to be applied on the indices used in the formula, whose values are in Table *5*. The sum of the weighting factors shall be equal to 1.
- **Ai:** Index "ICC Labor labor index (column 56) published by Fundação Getúlio Vargas FGV", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the tariff readjustment date;
- Ao: Index "ICC Labor labor index (column 56) published by Fundação Getúlio Vargas
   FGV", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the last tariff readjustment date;
- **Bi:** It is the average of the values of the electric energy tariff for "Group A, Subgroup A4 (2.3 kV to 25kV)", off peak, consumption value in MWh, practiced by the local concessionaire, on the 1st day of the 12 months prior to the tariff readjustment date;

- **Bo:** It is the average of the values of the electric energy tariff for "Group A, Subgroup A4 (2.3 kV to 25kV)", off-peak, consumption value in MWh, practiced by the local concessionaire, on the 1st day of the 12 months prior to the date of the last tariff readjustment;
- **Ci:** It is the index "GPI Origin OG-DI Industrial Products Manufacturing Industry Chemicals (1006820)", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the tariff readjustment date;
- **Co:** It is the index "GPI Origin OG-DI Industrial Products Manufacturing Industry Chemical Products (1006820)", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the date of the last tariff readjustment;
- **Di:** It is the price of the water billed by CEDAE, corresponding to the month prior to the tariff readjustment date;
- **Do:** It is the price of the water billed by CEDAE, corresponding to the month prior to the date of the last tariff readjustment;
- **Ei:** It is the index "INCC National Index of Construction Cost, column 1A of Conjuntura Econômica magazine of Fundação Getúlio Vargas", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the tariff readjustment date;
- **Eo:** It is the index "INCC National Index of Construction Cost, column 1A of Conjuntura Econômica magazine of Fundação Getúlio Vargas", corresponding to the fourth month prior to the date of the last tariff readjustment. The following table shows the overall values and the cost item weighting factor in the tariff readjustment, which varies according to the year of the AGREEMENT.

Table 5 - Definition of the Weighting Factors

	ltem	Years 1 to 3	Years 4 to 6	Years 7 to 9	Years 10 to 12	Years 13 to 15	16 to 18 years	Years 19 to 21	Years 22 to 24	Years 25 to 27	Years 28 to 30	Years 31 to 33	Years 34 and 35
P1	Labor <sup>1</sup>	10.3%	9.3%	17.7%	23.5%	24.0%	24.8%	26.6%	26.9%	27.1%	26.7%	26.9%	27.1%
P2	Electric Power	4.4%	3.8%	7.9%	12.1%	12.2%	12.6%	13.4%	13.5%	13.6%	14.2%	15.8%	16.0%
Р3	Industrial Products <sup>2</sup>	2.5%	2.4%	4.9%	6.5%	6.6%	6.8%	7.3%	7.4%	7.4%	7.6%	8.1%	8.1%
P4	Water from CEDAE	37.6%	26.4%	40.4%	46.6%	45.9%	46.5%	48.8%	49.0%	49.4%	48.0%	46.7%	47.1%
Р5	CAPEX (Civil Construction)	45.3%	58.1%	29.1%	11.4%	11.3%	9.3%	4.0%	3.2%	2.5%	3.5%	2.5%	1.7%

Source: Consortium

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Operational and administrative labor was taken into account.
<sup>2</sup> Expenditure on chemical products, laboratory analysis and sludge treatment were taken into account.

#### 4.2 Application of Performance Indicators to the Tariff

The application of the GPI to the TARIFF shall occur from the third year of operation of the system and the EFFECTIVE TARIFFS will be determined annually, at the same time of the readjustment of the tariffs, from the application of the performance targets, which will be verified by the INDEPENDENT VERIFIER.

In the first two years of operation of the system, the value of the EFFECTIVE TARIFF will coincide with that of the TARIFF, duly readjusted. The EFFECTIVE TARIFFS shall be calculated on the basis of the following formula:

#### Where:

• TARIFF e: Effective Tariff

• TARIFF b: Base tariff, readjusted according to item 7.1 of this document.

• **GPI:** General Performance Indicator, which will take on the role of financial reducer if the performance targets are not met.

• **STI:** Social Tariff Index, which will be explained below.

In order to consider a maximum limit for the GPI that does not make the private operation unfeasible in that year, so that it can recover in the following year, a minimum limit of 0.90 was established. Therefore, the GPI will be the result of the formula in section 3.1.5 or 0.90, whichever is higher.

On the other hand, for contractual purposes, it will also be considered that, if the CONCESSIONAIRE achieves a GPI below the minimum of 0.90 for two consecutive years or three nonconsecutive times within less than 5 years, the agreement may be declared terminated.

Finally, the Concessionaire will have the possibility to request, after 3 months of a tariff reduction as a result of the application of GPI, a new verification of the GPI and, if the performance failure has been remedied, the Effective Tariff will be recalculated in order to consider the new GPI assessed.

It is worth noting that the readjustment of the base tariff and the calculation of the effective tariffs will be approved by the REGULATORY AGENCY through a single administrative procedure, with the support of the INDEPENDENT VERIFIER. The calculation of the TARIFF<sub>b</sub> shall be made by the REGULATORY AGENCY, while the effective tariff shall be prepared by the CONCESSIONAIRE, and the respective calculations shall be sent to the REGULATORY AGENCY, with a copy to the STATE, up to 60 days prior to the date scheduled for the readjustment, as established in the AGREEMENT.

### 4.3 Social Tariff Index (STI)

The purpose of the Social Tariff Index is to provide for an additional increase in the USERS' tariff if the percentage of units benefiting from the social tariff, according to the criteria established by state regulations, exceeds the limit established in the agreement, which is 5%.

Therefore, it will always be equal to zero if the percentage of social tariff beneficiary units in the scope of the concession is lower than 5%.

If the percentage is higher than the 5% limit, the STI will be calculated according to the formula below:

$$STI = \frac{0.5 * ST - 2.5\%}{97.5\%}$$

Where:

• ST: Percentage of Social Tariff beneficiary units in the scope of the concession.

To ensure the correct measurement of the percentage of units benefiting from the social tariff and that the TARIFF of the USERS does not receive an annual readjustment higher than strictly necessary, the CONCESSIONAIRE must carry out an annual re-registration of the beneficiaries 2 months before the date of readjustment.

The possible readjustment regarding the social tariff will only be obtained on the condition that this re-registration is carried out in advance.

Units benefiting from a social tariff located in favelas and subnormal urban agglomerations in the municipality of Rio de Janeiro will not be included in the calculation of the STI

The above rationale was established on the basis that the average user tariff follows the composition below:

Average Tariff (AT) = 95% \* Reference Tariff (RT) + 5.0% \* Social Tariff (ST)

And that ST = 0.5 \* RT, therefore:

For example, in a hypothetical case where the percentage of units benefiting from the social tariff reaches 10%:

Therefore, in this example, the Average Tariff was reduced by 2.5% x RT, which would represent:

$$\frac{2.5\% * RT}{97.5\% * RT} = 2.56\%$$

Using a variable in place of the percentage of the social tariff that is above the limit of 8.5% and making the appropriate mathematical operations, we arrived at the STI formula.

# 5. Bibliography

**Sistema Nacional de Informações sobre Saneamento (SNIS)** – Diagnosis of Water and Sewage Services - 2016.

**Associação Brasileira de Agências de Regulação (ABAR)** — Indicators for Water and Sewage Services - 2006.

Agência Reguladora de Águas, Energia e Saneamento Básico do Distrito Federal (ADASA) - Performance Evaluation Manual for the Provision of Water Supply and Sewage Services in the Federal District.

**Resolução ADASA nº 08/2016** - Provides a methodology for evaluating the performance of water supply and sewage services - 2016

# **Appendix I - Performance Indicators Table**

The following tables present the performance indicators applicable to all BLOCKS, except the WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index and USSI - Urban Sewerage Service Index indicators and the DLI – Distribution Loss Index, which will be addressed separately by BLOCK, in the following appendices.

Table 6- Performance Indicator Targets

#### Years 1 to 17

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
SDI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
WQI	N/A	N/A	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
SQI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
IAS	N/A	N/A	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%
USI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
NCR	N/A	N/A	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
DRI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%
DWC	N/A	N/A	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%

#### Years 18 to 35

	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
SDI	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
WQI	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
SQI	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
IAS	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%
USI	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
NCR	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
DRI	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%
DWC	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%

# Appendix II - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, <u>USSI</u> - Urban Sewerage Service Index Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 1

WSI - Urban Water Service Index (%) - Block 1

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 onwards
Aperibé	92	93	94	94	95	96	96	97	98	98	99
Cachoeiras de Macacu	80	84	85	87	89	91	92	94	96	97	99
Cambuci	88	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
Cantagalo	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Casimiro de Abreu	65	71	74	77	81	84	87	90	93	96	99
Cordeiro	98	98	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99
Duas Barras	80	83	85	87	88	90	92	94	95	97	99
Itaboraí	75	80	83	86	88	91	94	96	99	99	99
Itaocara	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Magé	77	82	84	87	89	92	94	97	99	99	99
Maricá	46	56	61	66	70	75	80	85	89	94	99
Miracema	98	98	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99
Rio Bonito	94	95	95	96	96	97	97	98	98	99	99
Rio de Janeiro	95	96	97	97	98	98	99	99	99	99	99
São Francisco de Itabapoana	83	86	87	89	90	92	93	95	96	98	99
São Gonçalo	81	85	87	89	91	93	95	97	99	99	99
São Sebastião do Alto	76	80	82	84	86	88	91	93	95	97	99
Saquarema	50	59	63	68	72	77	81	86	90	95	99
Tanguá	58	65	69	73	77	80	84	88	92	95	99

USSI - Urban Sewerage Service Index (%) - Block 1

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10		Year 11				Year 12 onwards
Aperibé	80	82	83	84	85	85	86	87	88		89			90	
Cachoeiras de Macacu	40	49	54	58	63	67	72	76 81			8	5		90	
Cambuci			63	68	70	73		75	78	80	83	85	88	90	
Cantagalo					87	88	88	88	88	89	89	89	89	90	90

Municipality			Start of the Co	oncession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Yea	ır 6	Year 7	Year 8	Yea	ar 9	Year 10		Υ	'ear :	11		ear 12 nwards
Casimiro de Abreu		5	59	65		68		70	)		73	76	7	79	82	84	8	37	9	90
Cordeiro	41	50	55			59			63		68	72	1	77	81		86		g	90
Duas Barras	16	30	36			43			50		57	63	1	70	77		83		9	90
Itaboraí	35	35	35			35			43		51	59	(	67	74		82		g	90
Itaocara			79			81	82	83	84		85		86		87	88	89		90	
	Magé	lagé		10	4	49	53	58		63	67		72		76	81	85	90		
	Mario	cá																		
	Mirad	cema			34		44	49	54		59	65		70		75	80	85	90	
	Rio B	onito			48		50	5	59	63	67	71	75	79	82	86		9	0	
	Rio d	e Jane	eiro		90		90	)	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90			90	
	São F	ranci	sco de Itabapoa	na	2	2		8	26	34	42	50	58	66	74	82		9	0	
	São G	onça	lo		34	34 34		4	34	34	42	50	58	66	74	82		9	0	
	São S	ebast	3		0		10	5	25	33	41	49	57	65	74	82		9	0	
	Saqua	arema	3		0		10	6	25	33	41	49	57	65	74	82			90	
	Tanguá								30	41	46	52	57	63	68	74	79 85	90		

<sup>(\*) -</sup> The Sanitation System of these locations is excluded from the scope of the concessionaire

## DLI – Distribution Loss Index (%) – Block 1

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10 onwards
Aperibé	50	39	36	33	31	28	25
Cachoeiras de Macacu	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Cambuci	42	34	33	31	29	27	25
Cantagalo	40	33	32	30	28	27	25
Casimiro de Abreu	38	32	31	29	28	26	25
Cordeiro	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Duas Barras	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Itaboraí	26	26	25	25	25	25	25
Itaocara	35	31	30	28	27	26	25
Magé	40	33	32	30	28	27	25
Maricá	27	26	26	26	25	25	25
Miracema	43	35	33	31	29	27	25
Rio Bonito	27	26	26	26	26	25	25

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10 onwards
Rio de Janeiro	35	31	29	28	27	26	25
São Francisco de Itabapoana	52	40	37	34	31	28	25
São Gonçalo	40	33	32	30	28	27	25
São Sebastião do Alto	49	38	36	33	30	28	25
Saquarema	30	28	27	27	26	26	25
Tanguá	28	27	26	26	26	25	25

# - Weight of the municipalities of Block 1 for the composition of the Consolidated $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GPI}}$

Municipality	Weight
Aperibé	1
Cachoeiras de Macacu	1
Cambuci	1
Cantagalo	1
Casimiro de Abreu	1
Cordeiro	1
Duas Barras	1
Itaboraí	2
Itaocara	1
Magé	2
Maricá	2
Miracema	1
Rio Bonito	1
Rio de Janeiro	3
São Francisco do Itabapoana	1
São Gonçalo	3
São Sebastião do Alto	1
Saquarema	1
Tanguá	1

# Appendix III - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, <u>USSI</u> - Urban Sewerage Service Index Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 2

## WSI - Urban Water Service Index (%) - Block 2

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 onwards
Miguel Pereira	85	92	94	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Paty do Alferes	85	88	89	90	91	93	94	95	96	98	99
Rio de Janeiro	95	96	97	97	98	98	99	99	99	99	99

## USSI - Urban Sewerage Service Index (%) - Block 2

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 onwards
Miguel Pereira	4	47	69	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Paty do Alferes	23	35	41	47	53	60	66	72	78	84	90
Rio de Janeiro	70	70	70	70	73	76	79	81	84	87	90

## DLI – Distribution Loss Index (%) – Block 2

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10 onwards
Miguel Pereira	60	44	41	37	33	29	25
Paty do Alferes	50	39	36	33	31	28	25
Rio de Janeiro	35	31	29	28	27	26	25

- Weight of the municipalities of Block 2 for the composition of the Consolidated GPI

Municipality	Weight
Miguel Pereira	1

Municipality	Weight
Paty do Alferes	1
Rio de Janeiro	3

# Appendix IV - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, <u>USSI</u> - Urban Sewerage Service Index Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 3

WSI - Urban Water Service Index (%) - Block 3

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 onwards
Itaguaí	87	93	96	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Paracambi	78	88	94	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Pinheiral	83	86	87	89	90	92	93	95	96	98	99
Piraí	95	95	96	96	97	97	97	98	98	99	99
Rio Claro	85	92	96	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Rio de Janeiro	95	96	97	97	98	98	99	99	99	99	99
Seropédica	68	84	91	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

- Urban Sewerage Service Index (%) - Block 3

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 Onwards
Itaguaí	41	66	78	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Paracambi	78	84	87	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Pinheiral	0	16	25	33	41	49	57	65	74	82	90
Piraí	41	65	78	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Rio Claro	0	45	68	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Rio de Janeiro											
Seropédica	40	65	77	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90

<sup>(\*) -</sup> The Sanitation System of these locations is excluded from the scope of the concessionaire

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Itaguaí	26	26	26	25	25	25	25
Paracambi	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Pinheiral	50	39	36	33	31	28	25
Piraí	50	39	36	33	31	28	25
Rio Claro	30	28	27	27	26	26	25
Rio de Janeiro	35	31	29	28	27	26	25
Seropédica	27	26	26	26	25	25	25

# - Weight of the municipalities of Block 3 for the composition of the Consolidated GPI

Municipality	Weight
Itaguaí	2
Paracambi	1
Pinheiral	1
Piraí	1
Rio Claro	1
Rio de Janeiro	3
Seropédica	2

# Appendix V - WSI - Urban Water Supply Service Index, <u>USSI</u> - Urban Sewerage Service Index Targets and DLI – Distribution Loss Index - Block 4

WSI - Urban Water Service Index (%) - Block 4

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12 onwards
Belford Roxo	78	82	85	87	89	92	94	97	99	99	99
Duque de Caxias	84	87	89	91	92	94	96	97	99	99	99
Japeri	72	86	92	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Mesquita	96	97	97	98	98	98	98	99	99	99	99
Nilópolis	97	98	98	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	99
Nova Iguaçu	90	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	99	99
Queimados	84	92	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Rio de Janeiro	95	96	97	97	98	98	99	99	99	99	99
São João de Meriti	92	93	94	95	96	97	97	98	99	99	99

## - Urban Sewerage Service Index (%) - Block 4

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Ye	ar 11	Year 12 onwards
Belford Roxo	39	39	39	39	46	53	61	68	75	83	90	
Duque de Caxias	43	44	44	44	51	57	64	70	77	83	90	
Japeri	0	45	68	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Mesquita	48	48	48	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	
Nilópolis	33	33	33	33	41	49	57	66	74	82	90	
Nova Iguaçu	46	48	48	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	
Queimados	42	66	78	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Rio de Janeiro	75	75	75	75	77	79	81	84	86	88	90	
São João de Meriti*												

<sup>(\*) -</sup> The Sanitation System of these locations is excluded from the scope of the concessionaire

DLI – Distribution Loss Index (%) – Bloco 4

Municipality	Start of the Concession	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10 onwards
Belford Roxo	46	37	34	32	30	27	25
Duque de Caxias	39	33	31	30	28	27	25
Japeri	53	41	38	34	31	28	25
Mesquita	48	38	35	33	30	28	25
Nilópolis	38	32	31	29	28	26	25
Nova Iguaçu	43	35	33	31	29	27	25
Queimados	38	32	31	29	28	26	25
Rio de Janeiro Região 4	35	31	29	28	27	26	25
São João de Meriti*	40	31	29	27	25	25	25

## - Weight of the municipalities of Block 4 for the composition of the Consolidated GPI

Municipality	Weight
Belford Roxo	2
Duque de Caxias	2
Japeri	1
Mesquita	1
Nilópolis	1
Nova Iguaçu	2
Queimados	1
Rio de Janeiro Região 4	3
São João de Meriti*	2